CHLOROPHYLL FLUORESCENCE RESPONSES TO PESTICIDES WITH COPPER ACTIVE INGREDIENT IN PANNON FRANKOS AND NARANCSÍZŰ GRAPE VARIETIES

FERENC BAGLYAS, ENDRE PÖLÖS
Kecskemét College, Faculty of Horticulture, Departent of Horticulture;
baglyas.ferenc@kfk.kefo.hu, polos.endre@kfk.kefo.hu

Abstract: *Pulse Amplitude Modulated (PAM) fluorometry is a sensitive and rapid method used to assess toxic effect of chemical components in plants. This study evaluates the difference in leaf sensitivity of two grapevine varieties, Pannon frankos and Narancsízű, to copper. The photosynthetic efficiency of the varieties was measured as the ratio of variable to maximal chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm). Young and older leaves of these varieties were exposed to four different pesticides with copper active ingredients in the recommended dosage: Bordói por (copper sulphate), Champion (copper hydroxide), Rézoxiklorid (copper-oxychloride) and Ridomil Gold Plus (mefenoxam+copper-oxychlorid) and their physiology were studied 4 times, on the 2nd, 4th, 8th and 12th days after treatments. These pesticides caused proportional decrease in the photosynthetic efficiency.*

Keywords: *chlorophyll fluorescence, copper toxicity, variety sensitivity*

INTRODUCTION

Copper is considered as a toxic heavy metal ion to plants and is a potent inhibitor of photosynthesis1. Gledhill et al. realized the significance of regulatory and biological perspectives of bioavailable copper in seawater and copper speciation, and discussed its deleterious effects. In grapevine, copper is essential for metabolic processes like electron transport in photosynthesis and in various enzyme systems (e.g. amine oxidase, cytochrome c oxidase). However, excess copper results in toxic responses, including subtle changes in enzymatic activity to gross changes in cell structure and function and inhibits photosynthesis. The type and extent of the responses of grapevine to copper vary according to the varieties under consideration.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Methods

The pulsed amplitude modulation (PAM) fluorometer is one of the instruments available for use in measuring chlorophyll fluorescence as an indicator of primary productivity. The PAM fluorometer uses the saturation pulse method, in which dark adapted leaf is subjected to a short beam of light that saturates the PS II reaction centers of the active chlorophyll molecules (see Schreiber, 1986 for a detailed discussion). This process suppresses photochemical quenching, which might otherwise reduce the maximum fluorescence yield (Schreiber et al, 1994). A computer subsequently records fluorescence yield measurements. A ratio of variable to maximal fluorescence (Fv/Fm) can then be calculated which approximates the potential quantum yield of PS II (Bilger et al, 1995).

Statistical analysis was carried out with the SPSS statistical computer package (SPSS for Windows, Version Release 11,5). Statistically differences in F_o/F_m were analyzed by GLM procedure and factor level was established according to factor significance and interactions. Studies of instantaneous comparisons were carried out by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significant effect of means was identified with Tukey-test at 0.05 probabilities.



Figure 1: The theory of chlorophyll fluorescence measurement

$F_v/F_m = (F_m-F_o)/F_m$
F_m = maximum fluorescence (Reaction centers-RC's are closed)
F_o = minimum fluorescence (RC's open)

RESULTS

Pannon frankos and Narancsízű young leaf copper toxicity by Rézoxiklorid (copper-oxychloride) measured in four days after spraying

Table 1.

ANOVA táblázat

tényező	SQ	FG	MQ	F	Sig.
Összes	1,703	24			
Ismétlés	,379	23			
Kezelés	,107(a)	7	,015	,898	,531
A kezelés	,016	1	,016	,957	**,342**
B kezelés	,085	3	,028	1,667	**,214**
AxB	,006	3	,002	,109	**,953**
Hiba	,272	16	,017		

As significance coeffient, p>0.05 there is no significant differences varieties, day of measurement and their combination. It means that Réxoxiklorid, which is known to be the most toxic of all cupriferous pesticides are not toxic on young leaves if it is sprayed in the recommended dosage.

Pannon frankos and Narancsízű older leaf copper toxicity by Champion (copper-hydroxide)

As Table 2. indicates p<0.05 so we can say that there is a statistical difference between the days of measurement.

Table 2.

ANOVA table of Champion treatment

tényező	SQ	FG	MQ	F	Sig.
Összes	3,154	24			
Ismétlés	,605	23			
Kezelés	,379(a)	7	,054	3,821	,013
A kezelés	,066	1	,066	4,649	***,047***
B kezelés	,312	3	,104	7,339	***,003***
AxB	,001	3	**,**000	,027	,994
Hiba	,226	16	,014		



Figure 2: The effect of Champion pesticide on the Y(II) of older leaves of Pannon frankos and Narancsízű varieties

Table 4. indicates that there is a significant difference between each measuring days.

When we look at the FV/Fm lines it is seen that in Pannon frankos Y(II) values were always higher than the control while in case of Narancsízű there was an inhibiting effect 2 days after the treatment. In both varieties Champion had a positive effect on photosynthesis.

A question arises whether the results are influenced by the change of Y(II) of the control leaves. We investigated it by running a two-way ANOVA between variety and control's older leaves. Table 3. of ANOVA shows that there is no statistical difference in the measuring days so the Champion results are only explained by the effect of the pesticide.

Table 4.

Multiple Comparisons of measurement day

Dependent Variable: FVPERFM
Tukey HSD

(I) MÉRÉSI IDŐ	(J) MÉRÉSI IDŐ	átlageltérés (I-J)	Std. hiba	Sig.	konfidencia intervallum (95%)	
					alsó határ	felső határ
1,00	2,00	-,3009(*)	,06868	***,002***	-,4974	-,1044
	3,00	-,2427(*)	,06868	***,013***	-,4392	-,0462
	4,00	-,2176(*)	,06868	***,028***	-,4141	-,0210
2,00	1,00	,3009(*)	,06868	,002	,1044	,4974
	3,00	,0582	,06868	,831	-,1383	,2547
	4,00	,0833	,06868	,628	-,1132	,2798
3,00	1,00	,2427(*)	,06868	,013	,0462	,4392
	2,00	-,0582	,06868	,831	-,2547	,1383
	4,00	,0251	,06868	,983	-,1714	,2216
4,00	1,00	,2176(*)	,06868	,028	,0210	,4141
	2,00	-,0833	,06868	,628	-,2798	,1132
	3,00	-,0251	,06868	,983	-,2216	,1714

Based on observed means.
* The mean difference is significant at the ,05 level.

Table 3.

ANOVA table of control

tényező	SQ	FG	MQ	F	Sig.
Összes	3,152	24			
Ismétlés	,554	23			
Kezelés	,238(a)	7	,034	1,720	,174
A kezelés	,005	1	,005	,255	**,621**
B kezelés	,071	3	,024	1,201	**,341**
AxB	,162	3	,054	2,729	**,078**
Hiba	,316	16	,020		

CONCLUSIONS

- in therapy dosage copper is not toxic to varieties, however the date of recovery is significantly different
- control Y(II) did not change in the measurement period
- according to the ANOVA calculations, it is possible that copper-hydroxide has a positive effect on enzymatic activities
- the hypothesis that young leaves can be burnt by copper did not prove to be true
- difference between variety's copper sensitivity was not observed
- temperature and sunshine largely influenced the data obtained

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. **BILGER ET AL,** (1995): Determination of the quantum efficiency of photosystem II and of nonphotochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence in the field. Oecologia. 1995;102:425–432.
2. **SCHREIBER, U., SCHLIWA, W. AND U. BILGER** (1986): Continuous recording of photochemical and non-photochemical chlorophyll fluorescence quenching with a new type of modulation fluorimeter. Photosynthesis Research, 10, 51-62.
3. **SCHREIBER, U., BILGER, W., NEUBAUER, C.,** Chlorophyll fluorescence as a nonintrusive indicator for rapid assessment of in vivo photosynthesis.
4. **SCHULZE, E.D., CALDWELL, M.M.** (eds). Ecophysiology of photosynthesis. Berlin : Springer, 1994. V.100, p.49-70.